Evaluation of Supplier on Vendor through Analytical Supplier Selection Process

Palaniappan.S¹, Sudha.S², Sethuvelappan.P³, Bhuvaneswari.N⁴

¹Research Scholar, Department of Management Studies, Vels Institute of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies, Pallavaram, Chennai

²Professor, Department of Management Studies Vels Institute of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies, Pallavaram, Chennai

³UG Scholar, Department of Mechanical Engineering, St. Joseph's College of Engineering, Chennai ⁴Research Scholar, Department of Commerce, Sadakathulla Appa College, Tirunelveli

Abstract: All the manufacturing industries operate the manufacturing process in a different location. The different parts are manufactured from different Industries. The chain network differs for various industries. This operation is controlled by the Supply Chain Network. The various input and output parameters are provided. The output parameters are controlled by the analytic network process method (Ahp). The process parameters differ for various industries. The various input parameters are discussed below.

Keywords: supply chain management, customer relationship, vendor development

1. Introduction

The papers are discussed with the various engineering industry problem analysis by SCM method. The process improvement discussed with the various network techniques¹ the paper are discussed with the JIT method with SCM concept. The concept implementation by the way of improvement the customer statisfaction²the paper discussed with the various application in AHP method. The output result improvement by industry application. The decision making is improvement with SCM.³ the paper discussed with TVP process. Total valve production (TVP). The data analysis by SCM Method. The output valve improved by SCM Method. The method implement by various industries⁴. the paper discussed with the Interactive Gravitive analysis. The IGA method is implemented by various industries. The result with discussed in a various application⁵described a case study into vendor rating for a government sponsored Entrepreneur Development programme in Malaysia. The paper is discussed about current trend of the vendor rating method. The method improvement in a industry. It will improved in 60% of the regular process⁶ the paper discussed with the AHP method for industry problems. Finally they have concluded with the problems and implement the industries. And finally the implement the data in a vendor development. It is improvement in a process levels¹provided

a comparison of the two approaches and will attempt to look at how AHP can be modeled to take advantage of TCO methodology to make it more robust.⁸ the process improvement by vendor selection method. The vendor selection based on AHP analysis. The analysis of AHP in a quality, delivery time, demand, and various factors. The output will be implement by various industries.⁹ the paper discussed with the supplier selection management systems. The various factor consider the SSMS systems. The parameters implement the various industries. The process will be improved by the systems¹⁰

The input variables are discussed below

In this model twelve potential important variables are discussed below

4	0 11	_	a 1	C.	•
1	Quality	'/	Sales	atter	service
1.	Quality,	, .	Daies	arter	

- 2. Quantity 8. Communication system
- 3. Delivery time 9. Technical Capability
- 4. Demand 10. Production facilities
- 5. Cost 11. Geographical location
- 6. Discount 12. Professionalism of Sales Person

2. Evaluation of Important Criteria

The important criteria are selected based on the order of maximum value of relative impact as shown in Table 3.4.

	Qua lity	Quan tity	Deliv ery Time	Dem and	Co	Disco unt	Sales After Servi ce	Commun ication System	Techn ical Capa bility	Produ ction Faciliti es	Geograp hical Location	Professio nalism Of Sales Person
Quality	1	4	3	2	4	8	3	3	8	9	4	4
Quantity		2	4	3	4	7	4	8	4	10	3	8
Delivery Time			3	2	2	5	4	4	8	12	5	7
Demand				2	3	5	2	2	3	5	3	8
Cost					2	6	5	4	3	8	5	9
Discount						2	3	5	4	6	6	2
Sales After							2	3	6	8	9	9

Service							
Communi cation System			2	7	7	7	6
Technical Capability				8	4	8	7
Productio n Facilities					4	4	4
Geograph ical Location						2	6
Professio nalism of Sales Person							2

Table 3.1 Assigned preference values for criteria

	Qua lity	Quan tity	Deliv ery Time	Dem and	Co st	Disc ount	Sales After Service	Comm unicati on System	Techn ical Capa bility	Produ ction Faciliti es	Geograp hical Location	Professio nalism Of Sales Person
Quality	1	3	5	3	5	7	2	5	7	8	5	3
Quantity	1/3	1	3	4	5	6	9	4	3	2	2	7
Delivery Time	1/5	1/3	1	2	3	4	5	5	7	1	4	6
Demand	1/3	1/4	1/2	1	6	4	8	1	2	4	6	9
Cost	1/5	1/5	1/3	1/6	1	7	5	3	2	6	7	9
Discount	1/7	1/6	1/4	1/4	1/7	1	4	4	6	7	8	2
Sales After Service	1/2	1/9	1/5	1/8	1/5	1/4	1	4	5	5	7	9
Communi cation System	1/5	1/4	1/5	1	1/3	1/4	1/4	1	3	5	6	8
Technical Capability	1/7	1/3	1/7	1/2	1/2	1/6	1/5	1/3	1	6	7	6
Productio n Facilities	1/8	1/2	1	1/4	1/6	1/7	1/5	1/5	1/6	1	3	5
Geograph ical	1/5	1/2	1/4	1/6	1/7	1/8	1/7	1/6	1/7	1/3	1	7

Location												
Professio nalism of Sales Person	1/3	1/7	1/6	1/9	1/9	1/2	1/9	1/8	1/6	1/5	1/7	1

Table 3.2 Calculate Reciprocal Matrix for the Criteria

	Qua lity	Qua ntity	Deliv ery Time	Dem and	Cos t	Disco unt	Sales After Service	Comm unicati on Syste m	Techn ical Capa bility	Produ ction Faciliti es	Geogra phical Location	Professio nalism Of Sales Person
Quality	1	3	5	3	5	7	2	5	7	8	5	3
Quantity	1/3	1	3	4	5	6	9	4	3	2	2	7
Delivery Time	1/5	1/3	1	2	3	4	5	5	7	1	4	6
Demand	1/3	1/4	1/2	1	6	4	8	1	2	4	6	9
Cost	1/5	1/5	1/3	1/6	1	7	5	3	2	6	7	9
Discount	1/7	1/6	1/4	1/4	1/7	1	4	4	6	7	8	2
Sales After Service	1/2	1/9	1/5	1/8	1/5	1/4	1	4	5	5	7	9
Communi cation System	1/5	1/4	1/5	1	1/3	1/4	1/4	1	3	5	6	8
Technical Capability	1/7	1/3	1/7	1/2	1/2	1/6	1/5	1/3	1	6	7	6
Productio n Facilities	1/8	1/2	1	1/4	1/6	1/7	1/5	1/5	1/6	1	3	5
Geograph ical Location	1/5	1/2	1/4	1/6	1/7	1/8	1/7	1/6	1/7	1/3	1	7
Professio nalism of Sales Person	1/3	1/7	1/6	1/9	1/9	1/2	1/9	1/8	1/6	1/5	1/7	1
Coloumn Total	3.70	6.78	12.0	12.5	21.5	30.43	34.903	27.825	36.48	45.53	56.143	72

Table 3.3 Arrive column-wise total for reciprocal matrix

	Qua lity	Qua ntity	Deliv ery Time	Dem and	Cost	Disc ount	Sales After Servi ce	Comm unicati on Syste m	Tech nical Capa bility	Produ ction Faciliti es	Geogra phical Locatio n	Professio nalism Of Sales Person	RI
Quality	1	3	5	3	5	7	2	5	7	8	5	3	0.213
Quantity	1/3	1	3	4	5	6	9	4	3	2	2	7	0.157
Delivery Time	1/5	1/3	1	2	3	4	5	5	7	1	4	6	0.109
Demand	1/3	1/4	1/2	1	6	4	8	1	2	4	6	9	0.108
Cost	1/5	1/5	1/3	1/6	1	7	5	3	2	6	7	9	0.09
Discount	1/7	1/6	1/4	1/4	1/7	1	4	4	6	7	8	2	0.074
Sales After Service	1/2	1/9	1/5	1/8	1/5	1/4	1	4	5	5	7	9	0.072
Communi cation System	1/5	1/4	1/5	1	1/3	1/4	1/4	1	3	5	6	8	0.055
Technical Capabilit y	1/7	1/3	1/7	1/2	1/2	1/6	1/5	1/3	1	6	7	6	0.046
Productio n Facilities	1/8	1/2	1	1/4	1/6	1/7	1/5	1/5	1/6	1	3	5	0.033
Geograp hical Location	1/5	1/2	1/4	1/6	1/7	1/8	1/7	1/6	1/7	1/3	1	7	0.026
Professio nalism of Sales Person	1/3	1/7	1/6	1/9	1/9	1/2	1/9	1/8	1/6	1/5	1/7	1	0.017
Coloumn Total	3.7	6.78	12.0	12.5	21.59	30.4	34.90	27.825	36.48	45.53	56.143	72	0.02

Table 3.4 Calculate degree of relative impact (RI) for evaluation criteria

3. Result of ANP

The result of ANP is based on the values of relative impact of the criteria. The important criteria obtained as the results of ANP (Table 3.5).

Sl.No.	Criteria	RI
1	Quality	0.213
2	Quantity	0.157
3	Delivery Time	0.109
4	Demand	0.108
5	Cost	0.09

Table 3.5 Result of ANP

4. Conclusion

In this chapter, a detailed discussion has been made an ANP, factors influencing supplier selection, ANP based supplier selection process, identification of necessary criteria. The important criteria obtained as the result of ANP (relative impact) are quality, quantity, delivery time, demand and cost.

Reference

- 1. Ahmad, R. and Raja, B., "An Integrated Approach for Supplier Selection", IEEE International Conference on Industrial Informatics.
- Ali, A., Dominic, D. and Foong, O., "A Case Study of Linear Weightage Model for Supplier Selection Process", IEEE Transactions,
- 3. Ali, K. and Zeynep, S., "Integrated analytical hierarch process and mathematical programming to supplier selection problem with quantity discount", Applied Mathematical Modelling, Vol. 33, pp. 1417-1429, 2009.

- 4. Altuntas, B., Bayraktar, D. and Cebi, F., "An Application of Expert System Approach for Supplier Evaluation and Selection", PICMET Proceedings, Turkey, Istanbul, pp. 2755-2758, 2006.
- Arunkumar, N., Karunamoorthy, L., Lobo Shenoy, A., Thamizhvaanan, N. and Naidu, B., "Multiobjective supplier selection using optimization technique for balancing supply chain", International Journal of Industrial and Systems Engineering, Vol. 3, No. 5, pp. 575-593, 2008.
- Awasthi, A., Chauhan, S.S., Goyal, S.K. and Marie Proth, J., "Supplier selection problem for a single manufacturing unit under stochastic demand", International Journal of Production Economics, Vol. 117,
- 7. Babic, Z. and Plazibat, "Ranking of enterprises based on multi criterian analysis", International Journal of Production Economics, Vols. 56-57,
- 8. Bai, H. and Wang, Y., "The Application of AHP+LP in the Evaluation and Selection of Suppliers", IEEE Transactions, pp. 1-6, 2006.
- 9. Bai, H., "A Fuzzy AHP based evaluation method for vendor-selection", IEEE Transactions
- 10. Bei, W., Wang, S. and Hu, J., "An analysis of Supplier Selection in Manufacturing Supply Chain Management", IEEE Transactions,

.