# BIODEGRADABLE WASTE TREATMENT WITH ADDITIVE BASED COMPOSTING: A REVIEW

Prathamesh Gurme<sup>1</sup> Dr. R. P. Borkar<sup>2</sup>

PG Scholar<sup>1</sup> Professor<sup>2</sup>

( Department of Civil Engineering and Applied Mechanics )

Government College of Engineering, Amravati, Maharashtra.

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### **ABSTRACT**:

The Growing world population and the resulting higher consumption of products and services has driven a rapid increase of organic wastes originating from households, industry and agriculture. This situation generates serious environmental issues, calling for safe and sustainable strategies to treat these wastes, from their production to their recycling or elimination. On the other hand, the organic fraction of wastes represents a valuable organic resource, which could be recycled and transformed into nutrient-rich fertilizer and/or soil conditioner. Biological degradation during composting is one of the strategies to transform organic wastes into organic products. Composting is a time consuming process <sup>1</sup> including degradation of waste by the microbial population

Volume 12 Issue 9 2022 Page No: 48

converting them into a stable organic fertilizer. Hence, a considerable research in the field of rapid composting is to be done so as to accelerate the composting process. The different authors had discussed the methodologies used previously and gave outline of some

Veredas Journal | ISSN:0874-5102

www.veredasjournal.org

modified technologies. This review is summarized from study of literature regarding

composting process highlighted in various journals, papers, relevant text books, relevant

websites and proceedings of national and international conferences. It describes the best

and optimum additives as well as techniques enhancing the composting process by

reducing the period of composting and hence achieving rapid composting. The purpose of

this review is to get an overview of current approaches related with rapid composting and

other treatment techniques which were used for normal composting so that various suitable

techniques can be merged in combination in order to generate a new technique which will

be beneficial for reducing the duration of composting.

**KEYWORDS**:

Additives, Bioculture, Biodegradable, Rapid Composting

## 1. Introduction:

The world of 21st century is concerning about environmental and socio-economic problems in dealing with current and future management and planning of waste disposal. The waste produced in urban areas of India is approximately 170 thousand tonnes per day, equivalent to about 62 million tonnes per year, and this is expected to increase by 5% per year owing to increases in population and changing lifestyles. With changing consumption patterns and rapid economic growth, it is estimated that urban municipal solid waste generation will increase to 165 million tonnes till 2030. The vast amount of industrial, municipal and agricultural wastes has led increasing pressure on environmental, social and economic problems. Stringent environmental regulations for waste disposal and landfills make finding new sites for waste disposal and management a growing challenge. Solid waste management requires the application of effective strategies for proper wastes disposal and treatment . major part of these wastes in India consists of biodegradable organic material (50-55%). We can recycle and dispose them through the economic and ecofriendly technique of composting. Composting as a method for preparing organic fertilizers is ecologically and economically helpful and may well represent an acceptable solution for disposing of municipal solid waste. Normally, composting is a labor intensive and time consuming process which makes it unattractive for adopting at large level. Thus, rapid composting is "essential" as it reduces significant period of composting and providing more stable compost, with the supplementation of nutrient. It is noted that no universal method for composting as the types of substrate and its physio-chemical condition can influence the process. Advancements in composting process control will help increase the efficiency and economic suitability of the related technologies, and thus contributing to agricultural and environmental sustainability.

# 2. The composting process:

Composting can be defined as it is the natural process of decomposition of organic matter by micro-organisms under controlled conditions. Raw organic materials such as crop residues, animal wastes, food garbage, some municipal wastes like garden waste and suitable industrial wastes, enhance their suitability for application to the soil as a fertilizing resource, after having undergone composting. It is the process in which aerobic microorganisms converts organic matter into hygienic, bio stable product by thermophilic. This natural process is affected by some environmental conditions like temperature, moisture content, pH and aeration and substrate characteristics like C/N ratio, particle size, nutrients contents and free air space. During degradation of organic matter, moisture content influences the changes in physical and chemical properties of waste material. Compost is a rich source of organic matter. Soil organic matter plays an important role in sustaining soil fertility, and hence in sustainable agricultural production.

## 3. Composting using additives:

Additives to be used for composting are meant to enhance microbial activity when the additive is in contact with the waste material during the initial stage of composting process. As a result the degradation of the waste occurs at increased rate achieving rapid composting. Various new additives can be determined by experimentation based on materials facilitating the rapid growth of waste degrading microbes, increasing temperature during composting, enhancing aeration throughout the composting material by using porous additives, etc. Similarly, two different types of wastes can also be combined in order to accelerate the composting process. Additives are basically a mixture of various microorganisms, nutrients or readily available forms of carbon, enzymes, etc. which enhances the microbial activity when the additives are in contact with the organic waste. The addition of commercially available bio-culture containing effective microorganisms can assist in production of good quality compost. A commercial available microbial inoculum containing EM when used as an additive changed the temperature profile of the composting process and the ammonia emissions due to the increase in the mesophilic and thermophilic bacteria.

Veredas Journal | ISSN:0874-5102

www.veredasjournal.org

Utilization of jaggery and polyethylene glycol favored composting process as by acting as

food for the waste degrading microbes. Addition of lime showed a positive effect on

composting process by increasing the temperature and CO2 evolution without any negative

effect on microbial community. Fly ash has water holding capacity due to high porosity

which maintains the moisture content required for composting process. Ash increased the

rate of mineralization of compost and the formation of humic acids. The compost with

Effective Microorganisms (EM) has shown a few significant beneficiary impacts including

the improvement of odour control and few parameters (humification process, fat reduction

and N content). Various studies have been carried out previously, clearly indicating the

utilization of additives could be beneficial for the composting process. .

4. Discussions:

Composting is an aerobic, or oxygen-demanding, degradation of organic matters by

microbes in precise conditions. Although composting is a biological process, it is

controlled by many physicochemical parameters. Some of the most influential composting

parameters are: Temperature, pH, aeration, moisture, size, nature and volume of substrate,

C/N ratio etc. They are illustrated in following table 4.1.

Table 4.1 : Factors affecting composting and their Ranges

FACTORS AFFECTING		RANGES	
COMPOSTING	ACTIVE	CURING	PRODUCT STORING
Oxygen Concentration		13 – 18 %	
Free air space		40 – 60 %	
Particle size distribution			Min. 90 % material passing through IS sieve
Structure	Enough of particle in composting pile maintaining their structural properties throughout composting process		
C/N Ratio	25:1 – 30:1	18:1 – 23:1	15:1 – 20:1
<b>Moisture Content</b>	55 – 65 %	45 – 55 %	15 – 25 %
Temperature	55 – 60 °C	Less than 50 °C	Ambient
рН	6.5 – 8		6.5 – 7.5

There are different methods for composting are developed and were used all over the world according to extent of type of waste and available infrastructure to compost. Following table 4.2 showing comparison between various aerobic composting technique used in fields.

Table 4.2: Comparison of different Aerobic Composting Methods

METHODS ADOPTED	SIZE REDUCTION	TURNING PERIOD ( in Day)	DURATION FOR COMPOSTING
Indore Pit Composting		15, 30, 60	4 months
Indore Hip Composting	Shredded	42, 84	4 months

Chinese Pit Composting		30, 60, 75	3 months
Chinese high Temperature Composting	Shredded	15	2 months
Ecuador on-farm Composting		21	2-3 months in summer and 5-6 months in winter
Berkeley Rapid Composting	Shredded to small size	Daily or Alternate day turning	2 week with daily turning and 3 week with alternate turning
North Dakota State University Hot Composting	Shredded	3 or 4	4 - 6 week
EM based Quick Composting		14, 21	4 - 5 week
IBS Rapid Composting	Shredded	7, 14 then every 2 week	3 – 7 week

The popularity of composting led to a high demand on the market for composters of various scales as well as compost-related products, such as bulking materials and compost accelerators that are supposed to improve the process and the quality of compost. There are various Compost Activator or Enhancer are available commercial and more and more research are going on to find out different additives to get rapid composting for every type of waste. Following table 4.3 has illustrated comparison among different additives used by researchers and proposed effectiveness on treatment of wastes.

Table 4.3 : Different additives used by researchers

NAME OF	TYPE OF WASTE	ADDITIVES USED	REMARKS
AUTHORS	ANALYSED	ADDITIVES USED	REMARKS

GHABHANE JAGDISH ( et. Al. 2012 )	Green Waste	Jagger, Fly Ash, Phospogypsum, Polyethylene Glycol, Lime	<ol> <li>Jaggery and PEG treatment were better other treatments.</li> <li>Jaggery enhanced composting through increasing microbial biomass and stimulate enzymatic degradation and quality of final compost.</li> </ol>
GABHANE JAGDISH ( et. Al 2019 )	Green Waste, Rice Waste, Wheat Straw, Garden Waste, Sawdust	Jaggery (2%), PEG(0.25%), Peptone(0.25%), Spores of Trichoderma viride, Trichoderma reesei, phanerochaete crysosporium	<ol> <li>Addition of         Compost Activator         decrease time of         composting.</li> <li>Thermophilic         phase is essential         for composting like         it reduce duration.</li> </ol>
MANASI RASTOGI (et. Al 2019)	Municipal Solid Waste	Cow dung and Cellulolytic Bacteria	1. Role of temperature is intensified microbial activity and accelerated compost maturation.  2. This facilitated early maturity to other treatment.
PAYAL SHRIRAO ( et. Al 2020 )	Organic Waste ( Garden Waste )	Combination of Jaggery Powder, Cowdung, Wheat bran, Sawdust, Eggshell powder, Sugarcane	Accelerators     prepared from     natural     ingredients is     feasible

		bagasse, Lime, Coconut coir power, Neem leaves, Used tea power	product and has potential to commercialize.  2. It can reduce cost, time duration and increase compost quality.
ROSHAN MANKHAIR ( et. Al 2020 )	Garden Waste	Jaggery Lime Fly ash Bio culture	<ol> <li>Bio culture and         Jaggery are         additive suggested         for rapid         composting.</li> <li>Jaggery and fly ash         contains carbon         source helpful for         microbial activity.</li> </ol>

As mentioned in above table, past research literature has shown usage and effectiveness of various additives is helpful in composting treatment. These different additives has several characteristics and benefits as stated in following table 4.4

Table 4.4: Characteristics and usefulness of Different additives

NAME OF ADDITIVES	AUTHOR	REMARKS
JAGGERY	Jang (2002) Raut (2008)	Swiftly Boosted growth of microbes leading microbial metabolism

Amount of nutrients & vitamins.  It has increased rate of organic matter degradation and increase in temperature  Increased no. of microbes thus enhance degradation of cellulose during composting  Payal S. (2020)  It improve Potassium content  Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)  To have the degradation and increase in temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding			High calorific energy substrate
Gabhane (2012)  Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Payal S. (2020)  It improve Potassium content  Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)  It has increased rate of organic matter degradation and increase in temperature and code of cellulose during composting  It improve Potassium content  Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Contains vital nutrients for microbes growth but could not increase biomass due high pH  Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding		Rao (2007)	for microbes and sufficient
Gabhane (2012)  Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Payal S. (2020)  It improve Potassium content Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)  matter degradation and increase in temperature Increased no. of microbes thus enhance degradation of cellulose during composting  It improve Potassium content Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Contains vital nutrients for microbes growth but could not increase biomass due high pH  Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding			amount of nutrients & vitamins.
Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Payal S. (2020)  It improve Potassium content Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)  It improve Potassium content Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Contains vital nutrients for microbes growth but could not increase biomass due high pH Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding		`	It has increased rate of organic
Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Payal S. (2020)  It improve Potassium content  Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Rashan Mankhair (2020)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)  Increased no. of microbes thus enhance degradation of cellulose during composting  It improve Potassium content  Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Contains vital nutrients for microbes growth but could not increase biomass due high pH  Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding			matter degradation and increase
Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Payal S. (2020)  It improve Potassium content  Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Contains vital nutrients for microbes growth but could not increase biomass due high pH  Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding			in temperature
Payal S. (2020)  It improve Potassium content  Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)  It improve Potassium content  Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Contains vital nutrients for microbes growth but could not increase biomass due high pH  Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding			Increased no. of microbes thus
Payal S. (2020)  It improve Potassium content  Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)  It improve Potassium content  Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Contains vital nutrients for microbes growth but could not increase biomass due high pH  Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding			enhance degradation of cellulose
LIME  Wong & Fang (2000)  Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)  Positive effect on increasing temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Contains vital nutrients for microbes growth but could not increase biomass due high pH  Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding			during composting
LIME  Wong & Fang (2000)  Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)  temperature and CO2 evolution without negative effect on microbial activities  Contains vital nutrients for microbes growth but could not increase biomass due high pH  Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding		Payal S. (2020)	It improve Potassium content
Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)  Without negative effect on microbial activities  Contains vital nutrients for microbes growth but could not increase biomass due high pH  Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding			Positive effect on increasing
without negative effect on microbial activities  Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)  without negative effect on microbial activities  Contains vital nutrients for microbes growth but could not increase biomass due high pH  Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding	LIME	Wong & Fang (2000)	temperature and CO2 evolution
Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)  Contains vital nutrients for microbes growth but could not increase biomass due high pH  Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding	DIME	Wong & Lang (2000)	without negative effect on
Rao & Radhakrishna (2008) Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)  Roshan Mankhair (2020)  microbes growth but could not increase biomass due high pH  Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding			microbial activities
Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Roshan Mankhair (2020)  Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding		Rao & Radhakrishna (2008)	Contains vital nutrients for
Gabhane ( 2012)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Gabhane ( 2012)  Don't change temperature profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding		` ′	microbes growth but could not
Gabhane ( 2012)  profile so indicates don't affect microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding			increase biomass due high pH
microbial biomass  It can decrease thermophilic  Belyaeva & Haynes (2009) phase by increasing capacity of  water holding			Don't change temperature
Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)  It can decrease thermophilic phase by increasing capacity of water holding		Gabhane (2012)	profile so indicates don't affect
Belyaeva & Haynes (2009) phase by increasing capacity of water holding			microbial biomass
water holding			It can decrease thermophilic
		Belyaeva & Haynes (2009)	phase by increasing capacity of
	FLY ASH		water holding
Rao & Radhkrishna (2008) Contains high nutrients for		Rao & Radhkrishna (2008)	Contains high nutrients for
Zang (2017) microbes growth		Zang (2017)	microbes growth
Due to high porosity and water			Due to high porosity and water
Roshan Mankhair (2020) holding capacity enabled		Roshan Mankhair (2020)	holding capacity enabled
maintain moisture content			maintain moisture content
COWDUNG Payal S. (2020) Regulate carbon content, bulk	COWDING	Paval S. (2020)	Regulate carbon content, bulk
density, pH	Combond	1 uyu1 5. (2020)	density, pH

## 5. Conclusion:

This literature study gives the idea about the whole composting process i.e. Composting being a slow process involving biological waste stabilization makes unattractive for implementation. It has been recognized that there is no single, simple solution to deal with solid waste problems. Instead, an integrated approach, combining the elements of multiple techniques, is to be used in current research. The study suggested that various additives can be utilized during the initial stage of composting in order to influence the composting parameters (pH, temperature, moisture content, etc.) favorable for rapid composting process. The additives also stimulate the growth of waste degrading microbes and enhance the enzymatic activities. There are no. of additives material and ingredients has been used by many researchers to get optimum result in treatment with Jaggery, Fly ash, Lime, Cow dung, Polyethylene Glycol, Phospogypsum, Peptone Spores of bacterial, Wheat bran, Eggshell powder, Sugarcane bagasse, Coconut coir, Wooden ash etc. individually or in combination in interest of researchers. Certain additives due to their porous nature facilitate proper and improved aeration in compost piles. Certain techniques like aeration, periodic waste turning, shredding of the bulky raw waste are also found to be effective in accelerating the composting process. The additives containing minerals also increase the nutrient content of final compost though the initial feedstock are not nutrient rich. Hence, the utilization of various additives and techniques lead to the accelerated composting process and the final compost has higher nutrient content.

#### **References:**

[1] A.K. Pathak, M.M. Singh and V. Kumar, "Composting of municipal solid waste: A sustainable waste management techniques in indian cities: Review", International Journal of Current Research, Volume 3, Iss.12, pp.339-346, 2011.

- [2] Belyaeva O.N., Haynes R.J., 'Chemical, microbial and physical properties of manufactured soils produced by co-composting municipal green waste with coal fly ash', Bioresource Technology, 100, 5203–5209, 2009.
- [3]. De Bertoldi M. D., Vallini G. E., Pera A., 'The biology of composting: a review', Waste Management Research, 1, 157–176, 1983.
- [4]. Dr. M.C. Manna, Dr. Asha Sahu, Brochure for Rapid Composting Methods (IISC), 2013.
- [5]. Dr. M.C. Manna, Dr. Asha Sahu, Brochure for Rapid Composting Techniques (ICAR), 2015.
- [6]. Gabhane J., William SP, Bidyadhar R., Bhilawe P., Anand D., Vaidya AN, Wate SR., 'Additives aided composting of green waste: effects on organic matter degradation, compost maturity, and quality of the finished compost', Bioresource Technology, 114, 382–388, 2012.
- [7]. Himanen M., Hanninen K., 'Effect of commercial mineral-based additives on composting and compost quality', Waste Management, 29, 2265–2273, 2009.
- [8]. Jagdish Gabhane, A. N. Vaidya, "Efficiency of nutrient based compost activator on composting of green biomass: effect on physico-chemical, biological parameters and maturity of compost", International journal of Current Engineering and Scientific Research, Volume 6, issue 1, pp.321-330, 2019.
- [9]. Justine Barthod & Cornelia Rumpel & Marie-France Dignac, "Composting with additives to improve organic amendments. A review", Agronomy for Sustainable Development, Volume 38 issue 17, pp.1-23, 2018.
- [10].Mansi Rastogi, Meenakshi Nandal, Lata Nain, "Additive effect of cow dung slurry and cellulolytic bacterial inoculation on humic fractions during composting of municipal solid waste", International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture Volume 8, pp.325–332, (2019)
- [11]. M. Himanen \*, K. Hänninen, "Effect of commercial mineral-based additives on composting and compost quality", Waste Management Volume 29, pp. 2265–2273, 2009.
- [12]. Modupe Stella Ayilara, Oluwaseyi Samuel Olanrewaju, Olubukola Oluranti Babalola and Olu Odeyemi, "Review Waste Management through Composting: Challenges and Potentials", Sustainability, Volume 12, pp.1-23, 2020.
- [13].Manual of Advisory on On-Site and Decentralized Composting of Municipal Organic Waste Central Public Health and Environmental Engineering Organisation (CPHEEO), MINISTRY OF HOUSING AND URBAN AFFAIRS, June 2018.

- [14]. Manu M.K., Kumar R., Garg A., 'Decentralized composting of household wet biodegradable waste in plastic drums: Effect of waste turning, microbial inoculum and bulking agent on product quality', Journal of Cleaner Production, 226, 233-241, 2019.
- [15]. Manu M.K., Kumar R., Garg A., 'Performance assessment of improved composting system for food waste with varying aeration and use of microbial inoculum', Bioresource Technology, 234, 167-177, 2017.
- [16]. Payal R. Shrirao, Dr. M. N. Hedaoo, "A Study on Composting by using Natural Accelerating Ingredients", International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) Volume: 07 Issue:11, 1-8 |Nov 2020
- [17]. R. V. Mankhair, R. P. Borkar, "Additives Aided Rapid Composting Treatment of Garden Waste ", International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET), Volume 07, Issue: 08, pp.15-20, 2020.
- [18] Rao and Radhakrishna, 'Dynamics of cellulase activity during composting of municipal solid waste', Electronic Journal of Environmental, agricultural and food chemistry, Volume 7 (9), pp. 3191-3198, 2008.
- [19]. Raut M.P., S.P.M. Prince William, 'Microbial dynamics and enzyme activities during rapid composting of municipal solid waste', Bioresource Technology, 99, 6512–6519, 2008.
- [20]. Zhang L., Sun X., 'Addition of fish pond sediment and rock phosphate enhances the composting of green waste', Bioresource Technology, 233, 116–126, 2017.
- [21]. Zhang, D., Luo, W., Li, Y., Wang, G., Li, G., 'Performance of co-composting sewage sludge and organic fraction of municipal solid waste at different proportions', Bioresource Technology, 250, 853-859, 2017.
- [22] Wong J.W.C., Fang M., 'Effect of lime addition on sewage sludge composting process', Water Resource, 34 (15), 3691-3698, 2000.